IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 3090 OF 2006

IN

SUIT NO. 916 OF 2004

Prema Patricia Rodrigues & Ors. ... Plaintiffs

Versus

Finlay Pius Braganza & Ors. ... Defendants

Mr.K.A.Shroff i/b. B. Amin & Co. for the Plaintiffs.
Mr. Charanjeet Chanderpal for Defendants 1 & 3.
Mr. Jaideep Mitra with Bimal Bhabha i/b. Apte & Co. for Defendant No.2.

CORAM: S.K.SHAH,J.

DATE : 9th November, 2006.

P.C.

1. This Notice of Motion is taken out by the Defendant No.1. The learned Counsel for Defendant No.1 is consenting for a decree being passed in terms of prayer clauses (a), (c), (d) and (e) as prayed in the Notice of Motion. He also represents Defendant no.3 and states that Defendant No.3 is also agreeable to the decree being passed in terms of these clauses.

2. The learned Counsel for the Defendant No.2 states that decree may be passed as the Defendant No.1

is not pressing the other Notices of Motion. In view of this, Notices of Motion No. 584 of 2006 and 585 of 2006 are disposed of as not pressed.

3. This Notice of Motion i.e. Notice of Motion No.3090 of 2006 is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(S.K.SHAH,J.)